

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,420	10/07/2005	John Bolland Reast	MSS-07-5053	2394
28465 PATENT GRO	7590 08/06/2007 ROUP		EXAMINER	
C/O DLA PIPER US LLP 203 N. LASALLE ST., SUITE 1900			HSIAO, JAMES K	
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/552,420	REAST, JOHN BOLLAND				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James K. Hsiao	3683				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l	orrespondence address				
Period fo	• •		0. 0				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8-24</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/552,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, and 8-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cynamon et al. (US-2485434).

Regarding claim 1, Cynmon et al. discloses vehicle suspension comprising a pair of leaf springs locatable on respective opposed sides of a vehicle chassis and extending longitudinally thereof, and an anti-roll device (10) which is arranged to extend transversely of the vehicle chassis, and means mounting opposed ends of the anti-roll device rigidly ,to respective ones of the pair of opposed leaf springs (fig 1).

Regarding claim 2, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein said mounting means is arranged to clamp the opposed ends of the anti-roll device rigidly to respective ones of the apposed leaf springs (figs 1 and 3).

Regarding claim 3, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein the anti-roll device has its opposed ends mounted rigidly by said mounting means to any position along the lengths of the pair of opposed leaf springs (figs 1 and 3).

Regarding claim 8, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein the anti-roll device has its opposed ends mounted rigidly by said mounting means to any position along the lengths of the pair of opposed leaf springs (figs 1 and 3).

Regarding claim 9, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein the anti-roll device has its opposed ends mounted rigidly to said mounting means to at least one end of the leaf springs (figs 1 and 3).

Regarding claims 10-13, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein the opposed ends of the anti-roll device are offset from the neutral plane in bending of each of the opposed leaf springs by means of spacers (20).

Regarding claims 14-18, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein said mounting means provides a comparatively large clamping area between said mounting means and the anti-roll device (fig 3).

Regarding claims 19-24, Cynmon et al. discloses wherein the anti-roll device comprises a beam bar or tube (10).

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references were used during examination but were not relied upon for the rejection, Soper, Caffrey, Mcgowen, Charles, Mcfarland, Mcjunkin, Wallis, Studer, Spoto, and Suh.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James K. Hsiao whose telephone number is 571-272-6259. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,420 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Don 197

JKH